CS 237: Probability in Computing

Wayne Snyder Computer Science Department Boston University

Lecture 19:

- Review: Confidence Intervals
- Hypothesis Testing
- Two-Tailed Tests
- One-Tailed Tests, Upper and Lower
- [If Time] Introduction to the Exponential Distribution

Sampling When the Population Parameters are Unknown

This is the usual case, and there are various solutions (we are only discussing the first two):

- (1) (**Special Distributions**) When the population has a standard deviation which is related to the mean by a formula (e.g., all we studied except the Normal Distribution), you can simply use the formula.
- (2) (Large Samples) When the population is large (typically, n > 30), by the CLT the distribution of the sample mean is approximately normal, and we use the "sample standard deviation," with n-1 in denominator instead of n.
- (3) (Small samples from normal population), when sampling with n <= 30 from a population known to be Normal, but with unknown mean and standard deviation, you use the sample standard deviation and a slightly different distribution, called the T-Distribution. (Not covered in CS 237.)
- (4) (**Small samples not from normal**). There are various special "non-parametric" methods for small samples not known to be normal. (Not covered in CS 237.)

Confidence Intervals: Summary of the Procedure for Large Samples

Confidence Intervals Using the Sample Standard Deviation when n > 30.

We will use **s** as the standard deviation of the sample, calculated using Bessel's Correction (divide by n-1):

Then:

- 1. Choose a sample size n;
- 2. Choose a confidence level CL (e.g., 95 %);
- 3. Calculate the multiplier k corresponding to $CL = P(\mu k \cdot s_{\bar{x}} \le \bar{x} \le \mu + k \cdot s_{\bar{x}})$
- 4. Perform random sampling and calculate \bar{x} , **s**, and $s_{\bar{x}}$
- 5. Report your results using the confidence interval corresponding to CL:

"The mean of the population is $\bar{x} \neq k \cdot s_{\bar{x}}$ with a confidence of CL."

In [3]: 1 norm.interval(alpha=0.95,loc=0,scale=1)
Out[3]: (-1.959963984540054, 1.959963984540054)

Sample = { X_1, \dots, X_n } $\bar{x} = \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n}$ $s^2 = \frac{(X_1 - \bar{x})^2 + \dots + (X_n - \bar{x})^2}{n - 1}$ $s = \sqrt{s^2}$ $s_{\bar{x}} = \sqrt{\frac{s^2}{n}}$

Confidence Intervals Example

Example -- Height of BU Students:

- 1. Choose a sample size n = 100;
- 2. Choose a confidence level CL = 95.45%;
- 3. Calculate the multiplier k = 2;
- 4. Perform random sampling of 100 students and calculate $\bar{x} = 66.13$ and the **sample** standard deviation s = 3.45 inches, and then

$$s_{\bar{x}} = \frac{3.45}{\sqrt{100}} = 0.345$$

5. Report your results using the confidence interval corresponding to CL:

"The mean height of BU students is 66.13 +/- 0.69 inches with a confidence of 95.45%."

Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis Testing is a probabalistic version of a Refutation by Counter Example of a mathematical hypothesis, or a Proof by Contradiction.

Example of Refutation by Counter-Example:

Hypothesis: Any number with four occurrences of the digit 1, two occurrences of 4, two occurrences of 8, and no occurrences of 2 or 6, is a prime number.

Refutation: Nope! 1,197,404,531,881 = 1,299,827 * 921,203

Example of Proof by Contradiction:

Theorem: For all integers n, if n^2 is odd, then n is odd.

Proof: Suppose we assume the negation of the theorem:

Hypothesis: \exists n such that n^2 is odd and n is even.

Nope! Because then \exists k such that n = 2k and so $n^2 = (2k)^2 = 4(k)^2$ and hence n^2 is divisible by 2 and even. Therefore, the hypothesis is false, and the theorem (the inverse of the hypothesis) must be true. Q.E.D.

Hypothesis Testing

When we refute a hypothesis probabalistically, instead of showing that is is **impossible**, we show that the hypothesis is **extremely unlikely** given the result of our sampling experiment. Here's an example:

Hypothesis: BU students have a mean height of 67 inches.

Now we do our experiment, with n = 100, and we find a sample mean of 66.13 inches and a sample standard deviation of 3.45 inches, and so our hypothesis implies that this sample mean should have the following distribution:



But our experiment gives a value of 66.13, which is unlikely! So our hypothesis is very likely to be wrong, and we should reject it. But how to decide? How unlikely is this?

Hypothesis Testing: Two-Sided ("Two-Tailed") Tests

When the extreme values could be in either direction (low or high): your hypothesis could be rejected because it is too low, OR because it is too high.



BU students have a mean height of 68
Sam Adams Boston Lager contains 4.75% alcohol

In this case, you state a Null Hypothesis about the mean of a population X:

 $H_0 = \mu_X = k$." This is the hypothesis to reject or not.

And you state (or leave implicit) the Alternative Hypothesis:

 $H_1 = \mu_X < k \text{ or } k < \mu_X$ or, more simply, $H_1 = \mu_X \neq k$.

You Reject H_0 if your sample mean is much larger or much smaller than k:

 $\bar{x} \ll k$ or $\bar{x} \gg k$

Hypothesis Testing: Two-Sided ("Two-Tailed") Tests

Hypothesis Two-Sided Test:

Step One: State a **Null Hypothesis** making a claim about the mean of a population **X**:

 $H_0 = \mu_X = k.$ (and $H_1 = \mu_X \neq k.$)

You will either **Reject** this hypothesis or do nothing (**Fail to Reject**).

Step Two. Determine how willing you are to be wrong, i.e., define the **Level of Significance** α of the test:

 α = probability you are wrong if you Reject **H**₀ when it is actually correct.

Example:

- **1.** H_0 : BU students have a mean height of 67 inches (k = 67).
- 2. $\alpha = 0.01$ (I am willing to be wrong 1% of the time)

Hypothesis Two-Sided Test:

Step Three. Do the sampling experiment to find a sample mean \bar{x} and the standard deviation of the sampling distribution s.

Example:

3. We perform the sampling experiment for n = 100, and find: \overline{x} = 66.13 and s = 3.45.

Hypothesis Two-Sided Test:

Now, at this point, using the hypothesis that the mean should be 67 inches, and the fact that the standard deviation of the sampling distribution is s = 0.345, according to the hypothesis, we should have a sampling distribution of



bability Distribution for N(67.0 119) $\sigma = 0$

 $\bar{X} = N(67, 0.345^2)$

The question is, of course, how likely our actual value of 66.13 is under this assumption!

Hypothesis Two-Sided Test:

Step Four: Calculate the **p-value** of the sample mean \bar{x} , the probability that the random variable \bar{X} would be farther away from k (our hypothesis value for the mean) than \bar{x} is: $P(|\bar{X} - k| > |\bar{x} - k|)$



The p-value is the probability of seeing the value \overline{x} or a value even more unlikely, if H₀ were true. Because we have a two-tailed test, we have to calculate how far \overline{x} is from the hypothesized value k and multiply by 2:

$$2 * P(\bar{X} < \bar{x}) \text{ if } \bar{x} < k \qquad \qquad 2 * P(\bar{X} > \bar{x}) \text{ if } \bar{x} > k$$

Hypothesis Two-Sided Test:

Step Four: Calculate the **p-value** of the sample mean \bar{x} , the probability that the random variable \bar{X} would be farther away from k (our hypothesis value for the mean) than \bar{x} is: $P(|\bar{X} - k| > |\bar{x} - k|)$



Example: Since 66.123 < 67, we calculate the **p-value** = 0.0117 from the left side:

In [31]: 1 2 * norm.cdf(x=66.13,loc=67,scale=0.345)
Out[31]: 0.01167762737326203

Hypothesis Two-Sided Test:

Step Five. If the **p-value** < α , Reject, otherwise Fail to Reject.

Example: Clearly we must Fail to Reject, since 0.0117 > 0.01! We can not reject the hypothesis on the basis of the data!

Some things to notice:

(1) If we had set the level of significance at 95%, we would have Rejected! It is important, therefore, to set your parameters **before** doing the test!

(2) This is precisely the same thing as if we asked "Is 67 inside the 99% confidence interval for our result?" using techniques from last lecture.

When the extreme values are considered in one direction only, you have either an **Upper One-Tailed Test** or a **Lower One-Tailed Test**:

Example of hypothesis for an **Upper One-Tailed Test**:

- I claim Richard does not have ESP: his chance of guessing the color of a card I hold hidden from him is 0.5 (if he does *much* better I'll reject my hypothesis!)

Example of hypothesis for a **Lower One-Tailed Test**:

- Seagate claims its disk drives last an average of 10,000 hours before failing (if we find the mean is *much* lower we may reject their claim).

One-Tailed: When the extreme values are considered in one direction only, you have either an **Upper One-Tailed Test** or a **Lower One-Tailed Test**:

In these cases, you again state a **Null Hypothesis** about the mean of a population **X**:

And you state (or leave implicit) the Alternative Hypothesis:

For Lower: $H_1 = \mu_X < k^{"}$ **For Upper:** $H_1 = k < \mu_X^{"}$

You Reject H₀ if your sample mean is very different than k:

For Lower: $\overline{x} \ll k$ **For Upper:** $k \ll \overline{x}$

[The main difference here is that you don't multiply by 2 when calculating the p-value.]

Hypothesis Upper One-Tailed Test:

1. State a **Null Hypothesis** which makes a claim about the mean of a population **X**:

 $H_0 = \mu_X = k.$ (and $H_1 = k < \mu_X$)

You will either **Reject** this hypothesis or do nothing (**Fail to Reject**).

2. Determine how willing you are to be wrong, i.e., define the Level of Significance α of the test: α = probability you are wrong if you Reject H₀ when it is actually correct.

3. Determine a sample size n, take a random sample of size n, and determine the sample mean \overline{x} . Establish the standard deviation, either using the (known) population standard deviation or \overline{x} e sample standard deviation (more on this later).

4. Calculate the **p-value** of the mean \overline{x} , the probability that the random variable X would be larger than $k : P(X > \overline{x})$ The p-value represents the probability of seeing the value \overline{x} or a value even more unlikely (i.e., larger), if H₀ were true.

Hypothesis Lower One-Tailed Test:

1. State a **Null Hypothesis** which makes a claim about the mean of a population **X**:

 $H_0 = \mu_X = k.$ (and $H_1 = \mu_X < k$)

You will either **Reject** this hypothesis or do nothing (**Fail to Reject**).

2. Determine how willing you are to be wrong, i.e., define the **Level of Significance** α of the test

 α = probability you are wrong if you Reject **H**₀ when it is actually correct.

3. Determine a sample size n, take a random sample of size n, and determine the sample mean \overline{x} . Establish the standard deviation, either using the (known) population standard deviation or the sample standard deviation (more on this later).

4. Calculate the **p-value** of the mean \overline{x} , the probability that the random variable X would be **smaller** than \overline{x} : P(X < \overline{x}). The p-value represents the probability of seeing the value \overline{x} or a value even more unlikely (i.e., even smaller), if H₀ were true.

Example: Upper One-Tailed Test:

Richard claims that he has ESP. I disagree. My hypothesis is that Richard does not have ESP. The question is whether he can guess correctly much **more** than half the time, so this is an upper one-tailed test.

To test, I draw 100 cards from a deck (with replacement) and he guesses the color. The level of significance will be 5%.

 H_0 = "Richard's average number of correct cards is 50, because he is randomly guessing." H_1 = "Richard will guess many more than 50 correct, because he has ESP."

In the experiment, he gets 54 cards correct.

Note that the best model of this experiment is a Binomial experiment, not Normal. Since this is an upper one-tailed test, the p-value is

$$P(X \ge 54) = \sum_{i=54}^{100} {100 \choose i} (0.5)^i (0.5)^{100-i} = 0.2431.$$

Since 0.2431 > 0.05, we fail to reject H₀.



But what if he had guessed 68 of them correctly?

P(X >= 68) = 0.0002044

Since 0.0002 < 0.05, we Reject my hypothesis that Richard does not have ESP, because he did something very, very unlikely!



Here is a table of how probable it is that Richard guessed \geq k cards correctly, if in fact he were simply guessing with probability 0.5 of success; these the "p-values" of the outcome of the test:

Probability Distribution for B(100,0.5)

xbar = 5	50 :	0.460205381306				
xbar = 5	51 :	0.382176717201				
xbar = 5	52 :	0.308649706795				
xbar = 5	53:	0.242059206804				
xbar = 5	54:	0.184100808663				
xbar = 5	55 :	0.135626512037				
xbar = 5	56:	0.0966739522478				
xbar = 5	57 :	0.0666053096036				
xbar = 5	58:	0.044313040057		4 35 36 37 38 39 40	0 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 k in Range(X)	56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 7:
xbar = 5	59 :	0.0284439668205	Reje	ect at 5% Level of	of	
xbar = 6	60 :	0.0176001001089	Sigr	nificance		
xbar = 6	61 :	0.0104893678389	_			
xbar = 6	62 :	0.00601648786268		Reject at 1% Lev	vel of	
xbar = 6	63:	0.00331856025796		Significant		
xbar = 6	64 :	0.00175882086149		Significant		
xbar = 6	65 :	0.000894965195743				
xbar = 6	66:	0.000436859918456				
xbar = 6	67 :	0.000204388583713				
xbar = 6	68:	9.15716124412e-05				
xbar = 6	69 :	3.9250698228e-05				
xhar = 7	70•	1.60800076479e-05				